(3)

(1) CHOOK

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.NO.3099 OF 2003.

PETITIONER : J.P.N. Vaidya, S/o Shri Premnarayan Vaidya, Aged about 48 years, Sub Engineer, Irrigation Department, At Hasdeo Left Bank Canal, Sub DivisionNo.1, Rampur, Korba, (CHHATTISGARH)

P. R. No. 2942/03
Presented by Shri Khan Vams.
dated 21/09/03

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through; The Secretary, Irrigation Department, D.K.S. Bhawant, Raipur, (CHHATTISGARH)

2. State of Madhya Pradesh, Through ;- The Additional Secretary, Irrigation Department, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (MADHYA PRADESH)

3. Union of India Through; The Director, Department of personnel and training public grievances and pension, Room No.312, Lok Nayak Bhawan, 3rd Floor, Khan Market, (State Re-organistion Cell), Khan Market, New Delhi 110003.

सत्यमेव जयते
1419/03

CERTIFIED COPY.
23/9/03
CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(131)

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

W. P. No. 3099 OF 2003

Petitioner : J.P.N. Vaidya

Versus

Respondents : State of C.G. and others

Shri Rakesh Mohan Pandey, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri Alok Bakshi, Govt. Advocate for State/respondent No.1.
Shri V.C. Ottalwar, counsel for respondent No.2.
Shri S.K. Beriwal, counsel for respondent No.3/Union of India.

ORAL ORDER

(21st February, 2006)

1. The petitioner was employed as Sub Engineer, in Irrigation Department, Bhopal, (M.P). By order dated 16.9.2002, the petitioner has been allocated to State of Chhattisgarh, contrary to his option.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was allocated to the State of Chhattisgarh vide order dated 16.9.2002, the petitioner wants to make a representation to the respondents/authorities for considering his case for re-allocation to the State of Madhya Pradesh, to which learned counsel for the respondents have no objection.

3. In view of the foregoing, the petitioner is granted liberty to make a representation before the concerned authorities. The concerned authorities are accordingly directed to consider and decide the representation, if any, and to pass order in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of representation.

4. In view of the foregoing, the writ petition stands disposed of.

No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge